mindthesec^{*}

HTTP/2 & InfoSec Anderson Dadario



Topics

- HTTP Today
- Why HTTP/2
- How it works
- What is relevant to your InfoSec job

HTTP Today

• Using HTTP 1.1 since 1997 / 1999

- Connection: keep-alive
- Head of Line Blocking
- But we still use N TCP Connections per origin ...
- And Many Hacks because requests are evil
 - CSS Spriting
 - Inlining
 - Concatenation
 - Domain Sharding
- No Header Compression



So comes SPDY in 2009

- With some cool stuff
 - Header Compression (vulnerable to CRIME)
 - Now cookieless domains are useless
 - Multiplexing
 - Now sharding is harmful (1 TCP connection per origin)
 - Has prioritization (e.g., focus on JS and CSS files)
 - Server Push
 - Although some pushes may be wasteful, there is "Server Hint" for SPDY, and RST_STREAM for HTTP/2
 - \circ HTTPS Only \rightarrow there's a gotcha here: do you wonder why? avoid intermediaries



What about HTTP/2?

- Used SPDY 3 as its first draft
- Main Driven by Performance
- But also includes ...
 - Security
 - Reliability



Key Differences

- Binary instead of ASCII
- Header Compression (HPACK RFC 7541)
- Fully multiplexed Means: Parallelism and Out of Order Req/Res
 - Stream Prioritization
 - 1 TCP Connection > N Streams > N Frames
 - Solves Head of Line Blocking
- Server Push what it thinks that the client will need (e.g., assets)



HTTP/2 Units





HTTP/2 Frame Types

7.	DA	ATA	6.
2.	HEADERS		
3.	PRIORITY		
4.	RS	T_STREAM	9. V
5.	SE	TTINGS	10.
	a.	SETTINGS_HEADER_TABLE_SIZE	
	b.	SETTINGS_ENABLE_PUSH	
	C.	SETTINGS_MAX_CONCURRENT_STR	REAMS
	d.	SETTINGS_INITIAL_WINDOW_SIZE	
	e.	SETTINGS_MAX_FRAME_SIZE	
	f.	SETTINGS_MAX_HEADER_LIST_SIZE	

6. PUSH_PROMISE
7. PING
8. GOAWAY
9. WINDOW_UPDATE
10. CONTINUATION

HTTP/2 GET

GET /resource HTTP/1.1 HEADERS Host: example.org ==> + END STREAM Accept: image/jpeg

+ END HEADERS :method = GET :scheme = https :path = /resource host = example.org accept = image/jpeg

HTTP/1.1 304 Not Modified HEADERS ETag: "xyzzy" ==> + END STREAM Expires: Thu, 23 Jan ... + END HEADERS

```
:status = 304
etag = "xyzzy"
expires = Thu, 23 Jan ...
```

mindthesec FORUM

HTTP/2 POST Request

POST /resource HTTP/1.1 HEADERS Host: example.org Content-Type: image/jpeg Content-Length: 123

{binary data}

- ==> END STREAM
 - END HEADERS :method = POST :path = /resource
 - :scheme = https

CONTINUATION

+ END HEADERS content-type = image/jpeg host = example.org content-length = 123

DATA

+ END STREAM {binary data}

mindthesec[®] FORUM

HTTP/2 POST Response

HTTP/1.1 200 OK Content-Type: image/jpeg ==> - END STREAM Content-Length: 123

{binary data}

HEADERS

- + END HEADERS
 - :status = 200
 - content-type = image/jpeg

content-length = 123

DATA + END STREAM {binary data}

Request Reliability

8.1.4 Request Reliability Mechanisms in HTTP/2

In HTTP/1.1, an HTTP client is unable to retry a non-idempotent request when an error occurs because there is no means to determine the nature of the error. It is possible that some server processing occurred prior to the error, which could result in undesirable effects if the request were reattempted.

HTTP/2 provides two mechanisms for providing a guarantee to a client that a request has not been processed:

- The GOAWAY frame indicates the highest stream number that might have been processed. Requests on streams with higher numbers are therefore guaranteed to be safe to retry.
- The REFUSED_STREAM error code can be included in a RST_STREAM frame to indicate that the stream is being closed prior to any processing having occurred. Any request that was sent on the reset stream can be safely retried.

Requests that have not been processed have not failed; clients MAY automatically retry them, even those with nonidempotent methods.



Upgrade Request Anatomy

When you don't know if it supports HTTP/2

GET / HTTP/1.1

Host: server.example.com

Connection: Upgrade, HTTP2-Settings

Upgrade: h2c

HTTP2-Settings: <base64url encoding of HTTP/2 SETTINGS payload>

[Response]

<u>HTTP/1.1 101 Switching Protocols</u> <u>Connection: Upgrade</u> <u>Upgrade: h2c</u>

Implicit acknowledgement of HTTP2-Settings

 A server MUST NOT upgrade the connection to HTTP/2 if this header field is not present or if more than one is present.

"h2c" means no TLS connection

"h2" means TLS connection [TLS-ALPN]

• A server MUST NOT send this header field.

InfoSec Overview 1-4

- Increased Attack Surface
 - Supporting HTTP/1 and HTTP/2
 - HTTP/2 extensions (e.g., new settings, frame type or error code)
 - Possibility to simulate bad implementations that results in DoS
 e.g., reply RST_STREAM to a RST_STREAM frame.
- Non mature implementations == High probability to find Bugs
 - E.g., <u>Yahoo fuzzing Apache HTTP/2</u>
- DAST Market
 - Force scanners to support HTTP/2
 - Decrease scan time

InfoSec Overview 2-4

- Wireshark support (partially)
 - Support HPACK but missing continuation frame support...
- Frame Padding to obscure the size of messages
 - "Use of padding can result in less protection than might seem immediately obvious. At best, padding only makes it more difficult for an attacker to infer length information by increasing the number of frames an attacker has to observe." RFC 7540
- TLS Cipher Blacklist (MAY trigger INADEQUATE_SECURITY ERR)
- TLS 1.2 or higher w/ SNI support is a MUST
- TLS MUST disable compression and renegotiation

InfoSec Overview 3-4

- TLS Implementations MUST support ephemeral key exchange sizes of at least 2048 bits for cipher suites that use ephemeral finite field Diffie-Hellman (DHE) [TLS12] and 224 bits for cipher suites that use ephemeral elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) [RFC4492]. Clients MUST accept DHE sizes of up to 4096 bits.
- Opportunistic Security for HTTP (...)
 - "(...) serve http URIs over TLS without being required to support strong server authentication. (...)"

For pentesting:

- it is possible for server configurations to change;
- for configurations to differ between instances in clustered servers, or
- for network conditions to change.

mindthesec[®]

InfoSec Overview 4-4

- (...) Opportunistic Security for HTTP (Opportunistic Encryption)
 - No padlock symbol
 - Won't verify X.509 certificate: "(...) The server certificate, if one is proffered by the alternative service, is not necessarily checked for validity, expiration, issuance by a trusted certificate authority or matched against the name in the URI. (...)"
 - Left out from HTTP/2 RFC
 - Polemic: does it prevents HTTPS adoption or help HTTP?
- ALMOST mandatory HTTPS as Google and Firefox said that their browsers will only allows HTTP/2 for HTTPS connections
- Many open TCP connections (persistent connections)



HTTP/2 Adoption Rate

- Browsers: Chrome and Firefox latest versions support already
- Servers: Apache (mod_h2), jetty, Apache Traffic Server
- Services: Google, Twitter
- Proxy: Squid
- CDN
 - Akamai said in the end of the year and
 - CloudFlare when 'nginx supports HTTP/2'

mindthesec[®]

References 1-2

- 1. HTTP/2 RFC 7540 http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7540.txt
- 2. HPACK RFC 7541 http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7541.txt
- 3. ALPN RFC 7301 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7301
- 4. HTTP/2 Home <u>https://http2.github.io/</u>
- 5. Daniel's Blog <u>http://daniel.haxx.se/blog/</u>
- 6. SPDY & HTTP 2 with Akamai CTO Guy Podjarny <u>https://www.</u> <u>youtube.com/watch?v=WkLBrHW4NhQ</u>
- 7. An overview of HTTP/2 with Daniel Sommermann <u>https://www.</u> youtube.com/watch?v=-yxQIRI6Qic
- 8. HTTP/2 (Mark Nottingham) <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?</u> <u>v=OQ158bJPvx4</u>



References 2-2

- 9. Pervasive Monitoring RFC 7258 <u>http://www.rfc-editor.</u> org/info/rfc7258
- 10. Opportunistic Security for HTTP <u>http://httpwg.github.io/http-</u> <u>extensions/encryption.html</u>
- 11. HTTP/2 Book <u>http://daniel.haxx.se/http2/</u>
- 12. TLS in HTTP/2 http://daniel.haxx.se/blog/2015/03/06/tls-in-http2/
- 13. HTTP BIS mailing list



QUIC: UDPbased transport protocol for the modern Internet

mindthesec

FORUM

Today, roughly half of all requests from Chrome to Google servers are served over QUIC

Anderson Dadario anderson@gauntlet.io|Twitter:@andersonmvd http://Gauntlet.io



Thanks!